Groundwater Management Area (GMA) 9 Desired Future Conditions 2021 Joint Planning

Adopted Desired Future Conditions for Relevant Aquifers				
Aquifer	Desired Future Condition (DFC)	Date DFC Adopted		
Edwards Group of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)	No net increase in average drawdown in Kendall and Bandera counties through 2080 [no average water level decline in 2080, as compared to 1997 water levels]	11/15/2021		
Ellenburger-San Saba	Increase in average drawdown of no more than 7 feet in Kendall County through 2080 [average water level decline of no more than 7 feet in 2080, as compared to 2010 water levels]	11/15/2021		
Hickory	Increase in average drawdown of no more than 7 feet in Kendall County through 2080 [average water level decline of no more than 7 feet in 2080, as compared to 2010 water levels]	11/15/2021		
Trinity	Increase in average drawdown of approximately 30 feet through 2060 [no more than 30 feet of average water level decline in 2060, as compared to 2008 water levels]	11/15/2021		

Non-Relevant Aquifers *				
Aquifer	Location	Justification		
Edwards Group of the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau)	Blanco and Kerr counties	No significant pumping		
Ellenburger-San Saba	Blanco and Kerr counties	Minimal current pumping and geological/hydrogeological characteristics limiting pumping impacts on surrounding areas		
Hickory	Blanco, Hays, Kerr, and Travis counties	Minimal pumping and geological/hydrogeological characteristics limiting pumping impacts on surrounding areas		
Marble Falls	Blanco County	Limited areal extent, minimal pumping, and geological/hydrogeological characteristics limiting pumping impacts on surrounding areas		

^{*} Districts in a groundwater management area may, as part of the process for adopting and submitting desired future conditions, propose classification of a portion or portions of a relevant aquifer as non-relevant if the districts determine that aquifer characteristics, groundwater demands, and current groundwater uses do not warrant adoption of a desired future condition (Texas Administrative Code § 356.31(b)). Declaring an aquifer as non-relevant for the purposes of joint planning does not necessarily mean that the aquifer will not be managed by a local groundwater conservation district.

Non-Relevant Aquifers *			
Aquifer	Location	Justification	
Edwards Aquifer (BFZ)	Bexar, Comal, Hays, and Travis counties	Regulatory and management jurisdiction of the Edwards Aquifer Authority and Barton Springs/Edwards Aquifer Conservation District	