



State Water Implementation Fund for Texas 2022 Abridged Applications - Prioritization Point System Detail

			HIGHEST CONSIDERATION (50 point maximum)								ADDITIONAL CRITERIA (35 point maximum)															
31 TAC 363.1304			(2) Population (6-30 pts)		(3) Urban/Rural (10+ 4/per - 30 pts)		(4) Regionalization (5/per - 30 pts)		(5) % Needs Met (10-30 pts)		(7) Local Contribution (1-5 pts)		(8) HH Cost Factor (1-2 pts)		(9) Emergency Need (3-5 pts)		(10) Readiness to Proceed (3-8 pts)		(11-A) Municipal (2-10 pts)		(11-B) Water Loss Threshold (0-5 pts)		(11-C) Wholesale (2-10 pts)		(11-D) Ag Efficiency (1-15 pts)	
Entity Name	Project Name	Total Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score	Result	Score
Tarrant Regional WD	Connect IPL to Bachman (2022)	75	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	≥ 50%	5	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	NA	0	No	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Guadalupe Blanco RA	ARWA/GBRA Project (Phase 1): Expansion of Mid-Basin WSP (aka Carrizo Groundwater Supply Project)	69	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + 1 rural	10	3 entities	15	≥ 100%	30	≥ 20%	2	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A&B	6	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Houston	Houston - Northeast Water Purification Plant Expansion	68	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	≥ 10%	1	≤ 1%	2	Earlier decade	1	A, B, & C	8	10%-13.9%	6	No	0	NA	0	NA	0
North Texas MWD	BDL Program Phase II	67	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	B&C	5	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Palo Pinto Co MWD # 1	Turkey Peak Reservoir	66	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	A&C	5	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Dallas	Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers: Southwest Pipeline - Phase 1	65	≥ 1M	30	≥ 1 urban + 3 rural	18	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 50%	10	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	NA	0	A&C	5	≥ 18%	10	No	0	NA	0	NA	0
Dallas	Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers: Elm Fork Filter Complex - Phase 2	65	≥ 1M	30	NA	0	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	NA	0	A&C	5	≥ 18%	10	No	0	NA	0	NA	0
Greater Texoma UA	GTUA - Parallel Collin-Grayson Municipal Alliance (CGMA) Pipeline	63	10k-249,999	6	≥ 1 urban + 2 rural	14	4 entities	20	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	Earlier decade	1	A, B, & C	8	NA	0	Wholesale	0	2%-5.9%	2	NA	0
Fulshear	Water Plant No. 2 Expansion	61	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	≥ 18%	10	Yes	5	NA	0	NA	0
Brazos River Authority	Belton to Stillhouse Pipeline - BRA	61	500k-749,999	18	≥ 1 urban + ≥ 6 rural	30	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	≤ 1%	2	NA	0	A&C	5	NA	0	Wholesale	0	6%-9.9%	4	NA	0
Alliance Regional Water Authority	ARWA/GBRA Project (Phase 1)	61	250k-499,999	12	NA	0	≥ 6 entities	30	≥ 100%	30	≥ 50%	5	1%-2%	1	NA	0	B&C	5	NA	0	Wholesale	0	< 2%	0	NA	0
Bridgeport	2 MGD WTP Expansion, 1 MGD WTP Expansion	58	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	NA	0	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	Earlier decade	1	B&C	5	≥ 18%	10	Yes	5	NA	0	NA	0
Dallas	Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers: Design of Lake June Pump Station Replacement	57	≥ 1M	30	NA	0	1 entity	5	≥ 50%	10	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	NA	0	C only	2	≥ 18%	10	No	0	NA	0	NA	0
Greenbelt MIWA	Develop Additional Water Supplies from the Ogallala Aquifer	49	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	≥ 6 entities	30	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	Earlier decade	1	C only	2	NA	0	Wholesale	0	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Dallas	Infrastructure to Treat and Deliver to Customers: Water Main Replacement Program	48	≥ 1M	30	NA	0	NA	0	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	> 2%	0	NA	0	A, B, & C	8	≥ 18%	10	No	0	NA	0	NA	0
Greater Texoma UA	GTUA/City of Gainesville Water Transmission Main Replacement Project	32	10k-249,999	6	NA	0	1 entity	5	< 50%	0	< 10%	0	1%-2%	1	NA	0	B&C	5	NA	0	Yes	5	≥ 18%	10	NA	0
Port of Corpus Christi Authority	Seawater Desalination	30	< 10k	0	NA	0	NA	0	≥ 100%	30	< 10%	0	NA	0	NA	0	D - NA	0	NA	0	No	0	NA	0	NA	0

Notes:

- (1) Conservation is scored as either Municipal (11-A) or Wholesale (11-C).
- (2) A "Wholesale" result under the Water Loss Threshold criteria indicates that the applicant is a wholesale water provider, and therefore the requirement to conduct water loss audits is not applicable.
(2a) While Greater Texoma UA is a wholesale provider, the benefitting entity (Gainesville) is a municipality and water loss audit review requirements still apply.
- (3) Tie scores are priority ranked according to the conservation and [then if necessary] emergency criteria, where applicable per TAC 363.1304(13).
(3a) The Dallas: Southwest Pipeline and Dallas: Elm Fork Filter Complex projects received tie scores even after additional consideration of conservation and emergency criteria per TAC 363.1304(13).